G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1902-03
~~191~~ .
(Bombay)

File No. 27

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

In maintenance of Charities established by the late Damodar Das Pant

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICE NOTE.

SUBJECT:— Maintenance of Charities established by the late Damodara Doss Sait.

LAST REFERENCE

Letter No. 824 dated 12th June 1903 from the Dy Commissioner Tumkur District.

The 4 villages of Bandrahalli, Chickmuttkur, Bolenahalli and Lakkasettipura in the Kunigal Taluk granted as Devadaya and Dharmadaya inams for the maintenance of the Charities established by the late Damodara Doss Sait, are now under Muzrai management (vide Govt. Pro: no 720-1 dt 17th Dec: 1897- printed & put up).

A scale of expenditure on account of the Chatram and temples belonging to the charities in question, has been sanctd. (vide Govt. Rs: No 1360 - 3 dd 20th June 1901, printed copy put up). In the sanctioned scale, provision has been made for a Sheikdar on Rs 10 per mensem and a peon for the Sheikdar on Rs 4 a month.

The Dt Commr. now reports that the services of the Sheikdar & his peon ..., be dispensed with, & that their pay, viz Rs 14 may be ~~be proposed~~ utilized to improve the feeding in the Chatram. He requests sanction to entertain a waterman

on Rs 6, ~~and~~ to raise the feeding charges from Rs 20 to Rs 27 and to give an increase of one rupee to the Collar.

The Dy Comm^r's letter explains in detail the necessity for the improvements proposed.

Submitted

21
20/6/03

I think some further info^n should be obtained from the Dy Com^r before the papers are sent to the Dewan

As the present Shekdar is stated to be old and infirm there is no objection to his services being dispensed with and the separate appointment being abolished.

In giving the work to the Shekdar of Kulyarduga would it not be more

Can there be any doubt as to the desirability of the Board instructing the Chairman to encourage by granting the allowance of £3 per Machine as recommended by the Auditor?

Can the work be efficiently done without the services of the Man on Rs. 4, whose appointment is proposed to be abolished?

Mr. Cook. Naushera is reported to be taking an interest in her work and to be deserving of an increase of pay. Cannot she continue to do the duties of both Cook and Sarkarak & an increase is given to her?
If not, cannot a Sarkarak be obtained on Rs 5 instead of Rs 6 as recommended by the Deputy?

Govt will be glad to sanction a small addition, even with the view of continuing feeding throughout the month without interruption, but they wish to know what number of families feed in the Chattram generally.

A report should also be called as to whether the collections from the main villages have been duly ~~of~~ made up to date, what is the accumulated balance at the credit of the institutions and where the amount is lodged, whether in the Govt Treasury or in the Savings Bank.

The report should also contain information regarding the Abkari Revenue as required by Govt Ro No. 1360-3 / Mus 7 74-93 d. 20th June 1903.

What about the repair

In the letter No. 1057 d: 10/5/04 it was stated that the Savings till then was Rs. 3393-9-1. What addition has been made since then?

to the Sanit:

Draft as above

26/6/03

Submitted.

Note continued:-

Draft as ordered above, was issued in Docket no 23 – dated 2nd July 1903, and in reply thereto, the Dy Comm of Tumkur reports that (1) owing to the additional work involved on the Sheikdar of Huliyurdurga, a small allowance of Rs 3 per mensem may be given him, (2) that as the Villages have been surveyed & settled and as also the Patel of the Shanbhogue & Patel has been settled, the Sheikdar can manage the work with the help of the Village Servants & that therefore, the peon on Rs 4 is not required, (3) that as the Cook Nanjappa has been doing good work & is willing to do the duties of Panchanak also & as the Amildar & Asst Comm speak favourably of his work, his pay may be raised from Rs 6 to Rs 8; & (4) that considering the convenient location of the Chattram on the Tumkur - Maddur road & the large influx of travellers and Bhyragees, the Dy Comm solicits sanction to an additional grant of Rs 10 a month for feeding &

(x. His docket no 872 of 27th Aug)

The Dy Comm solicits sanction to the Amildar's recommendation as a tentative measure to give the Taluk Head Gumasta, an allowance of Rs 3 per mensem to look

after the correspondence & accounts connected with the inam villages. A sum of Rs 7261 is now reported to be available at the credit of the charities in the Govt Savings Bank in the name of the Amildar. Under Abkari revenue a sum of Rs 1807-8-0 up to end of June 1903 is reported to have been derived, but the Dt Comm. proposes to estimate the actual amount realised. In regard to the repairs of the tank, a detailed report is promised at the beginning of the next official year.

Submitted 13.6.1905

In another communication (docket No 873 dt 27th May 1905) the Dt Comm. reports on the petn of Shanbhogue Venkatanarayanappa of Bandikalli & other inam villagers praying for reinstatement as Sheikdar of the villages, that Mr. M. S Narayanarao while Dt Comm. of Tumkur dispensed with his service.

Submitted for orders

29/6/05

Please note below the financial effect of the present proposals as contrasted with the state now in force. ~~Today's note & draft Government Memorandum~~ Proceedings 29/6/05

Submitted

According to the present proposals

the financial effect will be as

follows : —

Savings : —

	Per annum	Per annum
Sukadar's pay	10 — —	120 — —
Peon's pay	4 — —	48 — —
Total	14 — —	168 — —

Additional charges proposed by

the present Dy Commissioner : —

	Per annum	Per annum
(i) Allowance to the Sukadar of Hiliyandanga	3 — —	36 — —
(ii) Increase to the cook's pay	2 — —	24 — —
(iii) Increase to fooling allotment	10 — —	120 — —
(iv) Allowance to Sukul Hand Lymanta	3 — —	36 — —
Total Rs	18 — —	216 — —
Net increase	Rs 4 — —	Rs 48 — —

Submitted
Ks 6/9/05

(Vide next page)

Muz. 84]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Out of the items shown on the preceding page, I think the last item which is for giving an allowance of 3 Rs to the Taluk Head Gumasta may be disallowed as it may form an inconvenient precedent. The rest of the proposals seem suitable and may if it is submitted, be sanctioned.

The reports promised regarding the amount of Excess Revenue due to the Charities, and the Repairs required for the Iswara Tank, may be ordered to be expedited.

In reply to the Petition of Shanbhog Venkataramanappa, he may be informed that no separate Shekdar will hereafter be required

Submitted to the Dewan through the 2nd Asst

T Ananda Sami Rao
9/9/05

File No. of 190 Muzrai Secretariat.

I agree with the
Secy in considering
that no allowance
must be granted to
the Head Pujaris
to look after the
devl work of
the inam villages.
A reduction of 1/2 Re
may be effected in
the case of the Shekdars
and Cooli's allowance
each. Also the
proposed expenditure
may be regulated
not to exceed the
amount sanctioned by
the abolition of the
Shekdars & Peons
posts.

Draft ready
12/9/05

Draft ready
20/9/05

allowance
17.10.05

Read
13/9/05

86 28
12.9.05

MGCPB—2,500—1-8-05. 12/9/05

Muz 8A]

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

Note Continued -

Government in their Pro. No 1624-5 dated the 25th September 1905 issued orders dispensing with the services of the Sheikdar and his peon who were appointed to look after the work of the Sarvamanya villages of Boundhalli &c belonging to late Damodar Doss charities in the Kunigal Taluk.

An order was issued by the Dy Comr, Tumkur Dt. dispensing with the services of the Sheikdar.

The Dy: Comr reports that owing to the negligence of the Taluk authorities, the peon was retained in service for 10 months and 12 days longer i.e. till the 12th August 1906 & that the pay due to him was recovered from the Gumasta at fault and credited to the funds of the Institution.

The Dy: Comr recommends that ~~that~~ the Gumasta Karagiappa may be excused for his ignorance of English and the amount

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat.

amount recovered, refunded to him —

From the Vernacular records it appears that the man's pay at Rs 4 per mensem & was actually drawn from the Savings Bank Deposit and disbursed to him for 9 months from October 1905 to the end of June 1906. This amount was thereupon reimbursed, it being recommended from the Executive at fault. From the 1st July 1906 to the 12th August 1906 the man has to be still paid, his services being dispensed with from the 13th August 1906, as is observed from the Dy Comr's letter. The amount for this period comes up to Rs 5-8-9. Thus the total amount to be sanctioned now

Muzrai Secretariat. File No. of 190

is Rs 41-8-9. If this is sanctd Rs 36 will be refunded to the Gumasta and Rs 5-8-9 will be paid to the person—

Submit for orders

Rs
8/11/06

Orders are solicited on the subject. I think a small sum of Rs. 5 (five) may be retained as a fine, and the balance refunded to the Gumasta. The further payment of Rs. 5-8-9 due to the person up to the 12th Augt 06 may also be sanctioned

212

Subt to the 2nd Sr | Illegible
9/11/06

It shows great carelessness in the Bank office. I approve

File No.	of 190	Muzrai Secretariat.

of the Muzrai Secretary's proposal

11/11/06

Draft orders accdly

12/11/06

16/11/06

Issue

27/11/06

1906 - 07

Refers
Gs
2/11/06



note cont^d
7/11/06

From K Doraswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To L Ananthasami Rao Esq B.A
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore. Mugrai Department

Dated 28th } Oct^r 06.
Despatched 10th Nov^r 06
Received

General No. 433
No.

Enclosure No. 1 file.

The undersigned has the honor to state that a Separate Shaikdar on Rs 10/- and a Peon on Rs 4/- were appointed to look after the work of the 4 Sarvamanyam Villages of Bandehalli &c of the late Damodar Doss Saibs Charities in the Kunigal Taluk as per Government Pro no 1360- Misc: 574-93 dated the 20th June 1901. In April 1903, Mr. M. S. Narayana Rao, the then Deputy Commissioner of this District issued orders dispensing with the Services of the Shaikdar (vide paper no 9 on the file). In September 1905, the Government in their proceedings no 1624-5 dated the 25th September 1905, dispensed with the services of the Shaikdar from and

Enclosed

I went through the papers once more. I find that Office note and the draft appear to be correct.

I have modified the draft expressing the views further

Issued as corrected

21/11/06 27/11/06

Typed 3 copies 29.11.06

Inam

Read:— Govt Pro: no 1624-5
dy 25th Sept 1905 abolishing
the posts of Sheikdars &c his
peon in the village of
Bandikalli endowed
to the temples &c established
by the late Damodara
Doss Sait at Vellipadaya

Docket no 433
dated 28th October 1906
from the Dy. Commr Tumkur D.
~~to obtain sanction~~
~~to a refund of~~ Rs 41-8-9
~~from the funds of the Asst~~

The Dy: Commr
reports that the services
of the Sheikdar's peon were
ordered to be dispensed
with from the 1st October 1905;
but that owing to the
inadvertence on the part
of the Taluk authorities
the peon was retained in
service ~~and paid~~ till the
12th

12th August 1906 & that
amount
Rs 41-8-9 the excess
of the pay for that period
~~expenditure thus incurred~~
was recovered from the
~~ext~~ gumasta at fault
and credited to the
funds of the Lusta held in
the Savings Bank. The
Dy: Commr now recommends
that as the gumasta owns
the error and pleads that
~~on account of~~
~~the mistake was due to~~
his ignorance of English
he was unable to read
and understand the Govt
Pro: ~~etc~~ read above, the
amount of Rs 41 & odd
recovered from him may be
remitted.

2. Under the circs reported the Govt are pleased to direct that a fine of Rs. 5/- be recovered from the Gumasta, and the balance refunded to him.

Q. 3. From the records it
is observed that the person was paid only Rs 36/- up to the end of June 06 and that he has yet ~~Rs 5-8-9~~ Rs 5-8-9 paid Rs
~~person has to be paid for~~
~~his services~~ from the 1st July 06
to the 12th August 1906. * The balance due to him should be paid up and his claim adjusted

27/11/06

that the balance only viz Rs 36/- has to be refunded to the gumasta. The ~~Govt direct that in the light of the recommendation made by the Dy: Commr~~ a small sum of Rs 5/- may be retained as a fine of the balance viz Rs 31 ~~be~~ refunded to the gumasta.

The arrears of pay viz Rs 5-8-9 ~~stated~~ due to the peon from the 1st to the 12th August 1906 ~~should be disbursed~~.

The Govt ~~note~~ however observe that great carelessness has prevailed in the Taluk office.

Govt are pleased to sanction the refund of Rs 36 to the gumasta ~~in~~ ~~the~~ amount ~~remitted~~ from him. He is however fined Rs 5 for his carelessness. This fine should be deducted from the refund and credited to the funds of the Institution ~~being~~ ~~deposited~~ in the Savings Bank and disbursed to him

17/11/06
Secretary

To
The Dy: Commr Tumkur
returning the Records.
Comptroller to Govt

16/11/06

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore

M U Z R A I

Read:-

Govt. Pro. No. 1604-5 dated 25th September 1905, abolishing the posts of Sheikdar and his peon in the village of Bandihalli endowed to the temples &c, established by the late Damodar Das Sait at Huliyurdurga.

Docket No. 4357 dated 28th October 1906, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

Order thereon No. 2957-8 / 76-93 Dated Bangalore, 3-12- 1906

The Deputy Commissioner reports that the services of the Sheikdar's peon were ordered to be dispensed with from the 1st October 1905, but that owing to the inadvertance on the part of the Taluk authorities the peon was retained in service till the 10th August 1906 and that Rs. 41-8-9 the amount of the pay for that period was recovered from the Gumastha at fault and credited to the funds of the Institution held in the Savings Bank. The Deputy Commissioner now recommends that as the Gumasta owns the error and pleads that on account of his ignorance of ish he was unable to read and understand the Govt. Pro. read e, the amount of Rs. 41 and odd recovered from him may be

3. [illegible] ment are pleased to direct that [illegible] the Gumashta, and that [illegible] recommended in it.

5. From the record [illegible] was paid only Rs. 20/- up to the end of June 190[illegible] yet to be paid is Rs. 3-6-9 from the 1st July 1905 to [illegible] 1906. The balance due to him should be paid by [illegible] justed.

Fair Draft

Secretary.

To,

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District returning records

" " Comptroller to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore